



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/383,150      | 08/25/1999  | RONG-FUH SHYU        | 3576BP/S295         | 6176             |

7590

01/28/2002

CHRISTIE PARKER & HALE LLP  
350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD SUITE 500  
P O BOX 7068  
PASADENA, CA 911907068

EXAMINER

ABRAHAM, FETSUM

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2826

#12

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

|                    |             |                       |                     |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

12

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Daniel R. Kimball (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Forum Grabe (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 1/23/02

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 in relevance to claims 1, 4

Identification of prior art discussed: All cited references

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_

The parties agreed to narrow the broad claims so that the basic invention such as a unitary body or structure may be addressed by amendment to overcome the rejecting references.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Petsum Abraham  
PETSUM ABRAHAM  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

09/383/50